

## Chetwynd Aston, Woodcote and Church Aston

### Email Responses:

1	<p>Please see attached the final submission in response to the Community Governance Review proposals for Church Aston Parish Council.</p> <p>Appendix A - Chetwynd Aston, Woodcote and Church Aston Annex A</p> <p>Appendix A - Chetwynd Aston, Woodcote and Church Aston Annex B</p>
---	---

### Survey Responses:

1	I feel the total parishes offer no services to residents just a job for their friends and should be merged as shown in hand draft recommendations Bigger parishes with wider representation will offer better services for its residents, my parish hasn't even signed the armed forces covenant what a waste of time they are
2	I 100% agree with the proposed changes to the boundary that have been put forward by Newport parish Council. We need areas like station road to be included in the Newport parish now as it is long overdue. The changes will better reflect/represent the area. Especially as all those houses in that area will be using Newport Council run/owned facilities like the library.
3	I fully support the proposals. Edmond needs to do more and a merger would help us residents, although it should be warded to keep community identity and local councillors speaking for their area.
4	I do not agree that you are going to abolish the Dawley Hamlets Parish. It's not broken so leave it as it is. When I ticked the box to get to this page there was no box to tick for Dawley Hamlets Parish have you already deleted it?
5	The Clerk gave a presentation on the proposals by Telford & Wrekin Council to merge Church Aston Parish Council and Chetwynd Aston & Woodcote Parish Council into a new Parish Council called Church & Chetwynd Aston Parish Council. Members discussed the Community Governance Review at length and focused the deliberations on: • Education • Crime • Elections • Health and social care • Access to services, including community facilities and shopping • Shared values on environment, development planning and community cohesion. Members felt that though we would have liked to remain as the current form of Parish Councils, there were benefits to both communities by becoming as one. It was therefore RESOLVED to support the proposals for the new Church & Chetwynd Aston Parish Council, subject to the conditions that the warding for this new parish should be: Church Aston (5), Chetwynd Aston (4) and Woodcote (1) to better represent the new parish as a whole and ensure that there is fairer representation.
6	The proposed merge of station road into Newport is the only sensible option. Those living in Wright Avenue, Hutchison Gate development and the current site being developed behind Screwfix will benefit from being in Newport South ward. Residents use the services provided by Newport Town Council, including the library. If the merge doesn't take place, I would like to see a fee charged for services used in Newport for the residents who don't pay towards Newport Town Council via their council tax. I support the boundary change.
7	See uploaded document.

8	I fully support the proposals for muxton, donnington & St. George's, the Weald moors and church Aston and Chetwyn Aston although I do think Horton should stay with Hadley
9	Generally supportive. The extension of the Newport boundary to include the recent housing & industrial developments to the south and up to the A518 seems very reasonable. However it seems strange that the NI Park industrial estate is not included in the Newport area as most people regard it as a part of the town. The argument that the boundary can not go beyond the bypass does not hold up to scrutiny as the Mere Park development is in Newport but beyond the A41 bypass boundary.
10	As a resident living on the boundary between Newport and Chetwynd Aston parishes (boundary goes through my garden), I thoroughly support the extension of Newport parish's southern boundary. The area affected "feels" like Newport, is now increasingly urban, and if you talk to people who live there, they say they live in Newport, not Chetwynd Aston. It also makes sense for borough and parish wards to align. I also support the move of Admaston and Bratton to Wellington parish. I visit reasonably frequently, and they feel as much part of Wellington as neighbouring Shawbirch does. Indeed it shares services with Shawbirch and it is difficult to know where Admaston ends and Shawbirch begins. Very much in the orbit of Wellington, and will be beneficial for residents to have a say in the running of the town.